Britain, My Nation

Independently published in support of and to promote British Nationalism

Edition 4 – Britain, My Nation – Aug/Sep 2024

Contents

Page 3 - Editor's Letter

Page 4 - In the News

Page 5 - In the News (contd.)

Page 6 - Countryside Matters by O.R.

Page 7 - How Do We Save Our Decaying Country? (Part 4)

Page 8 - Introducing – Alek Yerbury, Leader of the National Rebirth Party

Page 9 - Which Nationalist Party? (1 of 4)

Page 10 - Which Nationalist Party? (2 of 4)

Page 11 - Which Nationalist Party? (3 of 4)

Page 12 - Which Nationalist Party? (4 of 4)

Page 13 - The Best of Spearhead Magazine – Jan 1974 (1)

Page 14 - The Best of Spearhead Magazine – Jan 1974 (2)

Page 15 - The Best of Spearhead Magazine – Jan 1974 (3)

Page 16 - PC - Making A Monkey Of Us All

Page 17 - A Time In History

Page 18 - Letters Page

Page 19 - Advertisements

Page 20 - Subscription Information

Disclaimer: The views and opinions expressed in this magazine are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect the views of the editor or any associated organisations.

Editor's Letter

Welcome to the latest edition of ***Britain, My Nation,*** the bi-monthly magazine published in support of and to publicise British Nationalism.

Despite, in this internet dependent world, the odds being stacked against us in succeeding with the introduction of a hard-copy only magazine, it seems that ***Britain, My Nation*** is going from strength to strength. Our subscriber base is increasing regularly and we received a real boost when a top level Nationalist recently took out a subscription.

Hopefully readers will have been as interested in the 2024 General Election as much as we have here at ***Britain, My Nation*** and have been as equally disappointed that true Nationalists put up so few candidates and that the voter turnout was low. However, with Labour forming the new government, we are sure that public disillusionment in what is sure to happen under their management will result in the Nationalist movement being revitalised and be seen as the only route for change. Time, of course, will tell, but we are at an early stage in the Labour administration, so come 2025 I am sure we will start to see the additional damage they will inflict on our people and our nation. On the basis of this we all need to go the extra mile in helping whichever political party we choose to support. We all know that the level of immigration combined with the failure of the 'powers that be' to deal with it, and all of the associated problems it creates, has made Britain a laughing stock. It is way past the time that we need to clamp down hard on the disruptive behaviours of those who have no respect for our heritage, culture and traditions and simply want to turn our once great nation into the same as that from which they have come, but with the added bonus of taking our money through the benefits that they receive. It seems that Britain is still seen as the country where our streets are paved with gold. It MUST stop!

In the latest of our series of articles providing a platform to leading personalities from one of the Nationalist parties listed in our 'Which Nationalist Party?' section, we welcome Alek Yerbury, Leader of The National Rebirth Party. We have already lined up several other Nationalist leaders for future editions and we very much look forward to their contributions.

Britain, My Nation will only be available by post on subscription and not via the internet or by email. Articles, letters, newspaper cuttings, and general comments for consideration for inclusion in future editions are welcomed, but please include your name and address if sending items (these will not be published, unless advised otherwise). Please send

by email to: - britainmynation@yahoo.com

by post to: - **The Editor, Britain, My Nation, 10 Washpool, SWINDON, Wiltshire, SN5 3PN**

We are hoping that ***Britain, My Nation*** will continue for some time to come but we can only do so with support from Nationalists within our community. We are truly grateful for the support and encouragement we have received to date but there is always more we can do, so please make sure you mention ***Britain, My Nation*** to your friends and political comrades and perhaps request a couple of extra copies that you can distribute as samples. Thank you all once more.

Robert Baggs – Editor

In the News

THE 2024 GENERAL ELECTION has come and gone and a pretty lacklustre affair it was too. Ex-Prime Minister Sunak called the election early and at a time when his Conservative Party were more unpopular than they have ever been. It was a foregone conclusion that Labour would wipe the floor with the Tories and they did just that resulting in them almost doubling the number of MP's they have to 412. The Tories lost 251 seats and now stand at only 121 seats. The Liberal Democrats increased their number of seats from 8 to 72 and Reform UK took 5 seats. The turnout was just 60%, down 7% from the previous election. As said elsewhere in this issue it is hoped that Reform UK, despite having only 5 seats, will cause a great deal of disruption to the government's plans. The election result was not unexpected but how our first past the post system works has since come under a great deal of scrutiny. This is largely due to the fact that the Lib Dems took 72 seats with approximately 3.5 million votes, whereas Reform UK achieved 4 million votes and took just 5 seats. If ever there was a case for the introduction of proportional representation then this is it!

REFORM UK have gained much support and are receiving a great deal of media coverage. However, before party leader, Nigel Farage, who won his seat in Clacton, could catch his breath he had flown off to the United States on the premise he was there to show his support for **DONALD TRUMP** who had luckily only just avoided being assassinated by Thomas Crooks, at his Pennsylvania rally. It seems that failings in the security services have been blamed for this and so have resulted in the resignation of Kimberly Cheatle, the Secret Service Director.

Back in Britain **LIEUTENANT-COLONEL MARK TEETON** (left), a long-serving British Army officer was attacked and stabbed multiple times in an attack reminiscent of that which resulted in the death of Fusilier Lee Rigby in 2013 at the hands of Islamist terrorists. The attack which took place on Tuesday 23rd June was near the officer's barracks and it is believed he was in uniform. The officer is currently in a serious but stable condition in hospital. A 24 year old man, Anthony Esan (below), has been arrested and charged with attempted murder. The Home Office are already saying the attack was the result of a 'mental health episode' and was not a terrorist attack. This is yet another example of how our nation is being polluted by those who do not belong here. The outcome of this case will prove most interesting although fortunately for the British government and media they have been able to bury this story in favour of one that allegedly highlights 'police brutality' with events that happened at **MANCHESTER AIRPORT** a day or so later. It seems that men accompanying a Muslim woman objected when a search of her was proposed, as it was against their religion. Subsequently there was a fracas and the police were attacked resulting in a police-woman's nose being broken and one of the men being arrested in a struggle during which a policeman kicked the suspect in the head. Now we at ***Britain, My Nation*** do not condone such violence but if these people were law-abiding then they would not put themselves in such positions in the first place. Of course, as expected, two nights (so far) of protests have taken place outside of Rochdale Police Station and in Manchester city centre and the policeman has been suspended pending investigation.

In the News (contd.)

On the subject of protests, Thursday 18th July, saw **RIOTS IN HAREHILLS,** a suburb of the city of Leeds in West Yorkshire. On this occasion the disturbances began after Social Services attempted to take the children of a 'British' Romani Gypsy family into care. The family had earlier visited hospital with one of their children who had a head injury, and it was felt the children were at risk. However, the local community did not agree and consequently the police were called to assist social services. As a result there were violent confrontations between the local residents and the police, with vandalism to police vehicles, fires being started and general widespread disorder in the streets. It seems that whenever a foreign community are expected to abide by how we British live then they object and become violent and destructive. Yet a further example of how by bringing the third world here you get the third world.

On Friday 26th July we hear that rogue **PRINCE HARRY** has said that "it's still dangerous" for Meghan to return to the UK. In an interview he said he feared an attack on his wife if she returned to the UK due to the attention the royal couple attract. Perhaps if Harry and Meghan didn't have such a huge chip on their shoulders, (about what it isn't totally clear), and they weren't seemingly hell-bent on undermining the British royal family, then they needn't have anything to worry about. It may be, of course, that they have seen the news this past week, as reported above, and do not wish to get caught up in the troubles that those who are not our people create.

The world's media would have it, that on a more positive note, the nations of the world have joined together in harmony for yet another woke-fest that was the **2024 OLYMPICS** opening ceremony in Paris. This 'harmony' has led to a major arson attack on the French railways, allegedly by the far-left, causing widespread disruption and the appearance of snipers on the rooves of buildings throughout the French capital. It was really no surprise that rap music 'star' Snoop Dogg, aka Calvin Cordozar Broadus Jr., carried the Olympic torch into Paris and Lady Gaga, aka Stefani Germanotta performed at the rain-sodden ceremony and so must have proved to be a huge turn-off for most people. But then to cap it all as the teams of all nations floated up the Seine on their way to the stadium we had the Refugee Olympic Team floating by. There is no truth in the rumour that after dark this boat headed for the English Channel on a course for Dover!

Finally, although no positive news of this event will appear in the main-stream media, an estimated **100,000 PATRIOTS** descended on London on Saturday 27th July, in a 'Uniting the Kingdom' rally organised by Tommy Robinson. He is not every Nationalists favourite, but nevertheless it was an impressive turnout and it just proved that there is a massive ground-swell of our people who are prepared to make the effort to show they care about our nation. If we could only attract those numbers to the Nationalist movement proper then there would be no stopping us.

Countryside Matters by O.R.

Here in rural Britain we often feel left behind in the overall scheme of things and election time is usually no different. No-one knocks on the door seeking our vote by telling us how wonderful it would be to vote for them and that everything will change for the better. That's probably a good thing as there are better things to do than debate with 'politicians' from the main parties who have long since betrayed our nation and the trust many of us have in them. This adds more weight to the belief that the 'politicians' have lost contact with the people and have no idea how we feel about what is going on and what matters. When it comes to election leaflets however, we are not forgotten and receive as many as most other people, as the Royal Mail dutifully adds a couple to the mail now and again for when the postman visits. This year we received a total of 15 election communications, 7 from the Liberal Democrats, 5 from the Conservatives and 1 each from Labour, the Greens and Reform. As usual great promises are made as to what they will 'fix', the NHS, the roads, the environment, the housing crisis, the rural economy and so it goes on. Most of the time the solution is to pump more money into the problem, but how that creates more doctors and nurses, for example, is beyond understanding, particularly as it seems the numbers of those wanting to follow those career paths are dropping. Presumably they can magically create more people, or is it they are relying on all of those skilled immigrants that keep appearing on our shores? And this brings us to the point of this article – only 3 of the 15 leaflets received mentioned the root cause of most of the problems suffered by our people –

IMMIGRATION!

There was no mention of immigration, border controls or the boats on any of the Lib Dem leaflets, or that from Labour or the Greens. Reform UK did use the back of their leaflet for all of those issues and the Conservatives mentioned this issue on 2 of theirs, but you had to search for them. The first was within their very nice 8-page A4 communication, on the back page, within a list of points under the heading "The Plan Is Starting To Work – Let's Stick With It" – it said 'Controlled immigration', that's it! I am not sure what plan they are referring to as starting to work, perhaps readers can help clarify. The other reference to the problem is on their very nice tri-fold leaflet, back page again, under the page heading of "Clear Plan, Bold Action, Secure Future' and reads "Securing our borders, working with our international allies and stopping the boats with our Rwanda plan".

The Conservative Party was in government for 14 years and oversaw a problem that became worse the longer it went on and is now worse than it has ever been. Now that the Labour party have taken over, it is anticipated that the problem will become worse still. They have already abandoned the Rwanda plan and it is rumoured they will offer amnesty to all of those illegal immigrants awaiting the results of their potential applications.

Is it any wonder that the Conservative Party were annihilated at the election and that voter turnout was so low? The political elite are seemingly oblivious to the fact that the British public see immigration as the biggest problem that we face and want action. It's time the people woke up and realised that Nationalism is the only way forward in tackling this problem and putting our country back on the right track once more.

How Do We Save Our Decaying Country? (Part 4)

Developing on the theme we began in the last issue of ***Britain, My Nation*** we look at the need for appropriate investment in our children to ensure that the future of our nation will be in safe hands. The proposals we put forward here are some of those that we see as necessary in providing the right tools and applying the right methods for a decent education and upbringing to enable our children to become the well-balanced, respectful and decent young people and adults that will provide that safe and prosperous future for our nation. There is definitely a need to review and change the existing educational system to ensure this happens and our children are given the best opportunities for a rounded education.

We believe that it is crucial for relevant subjects to be taught in schools. These must include British history and geography, British English literature and music, as well as those mentioned is issue 3. Together these subjects will provide the building blocks that will serve children in their lives as adults. Additionally we propose an increased emphasis on competitive sports, including compulsory swimming lessons, and games. This should reverse the current trend towards slovenly and obese people. We need a fit and healthy nation and this starts with fit and healthy children. We need them to develop a competitive spirit, something that has contributed to our successes in the past, at a time when everything British was the envy of the world. What we do not need our children to waste time in learning about are issues relating to inappropriate sexuality and irrelevant history e.g. as in black history month, where we believe a vastly disproportionate amount of time is wasted on the minor achievements of a few foreigners.

We propose amendments to the school timetable such that the academic year will be divided into 4 school terms, each of 10 weeks duration and each ending with 3 weeks holiday. There will be no half-term holidays and teacher training days will take place in those holidays. The standard school day will be 8.30-4 for secondary schools, 9-3.30 for juniors and 9-3 for infants, all with an hour lunch break. This will then ensure the day is used to the full in the education process. School dinners will be subsidised and children will have access to decent, adequate and wholesome meals. The wearing of uniforms will be compulsory so children do not see school as a fashion parade. Children will go to school in their local catchment area, so parents do not get involved in the current placing lottery. If school places are unavailable, premises will be adapted accordingly.

An overhaul of the school examination system is necessary so that exams are an actual test of the children's ability and knowledge, where some will do well and others not. Winners and losers exist in all walks of life and children should not be given a false picture of their abilities, as the resultant downfall is unacceptable. Annual examinations will take place which will not only demonstrate the progress children have made but also be a reflection of how well they have been taught and so be part of the regular and rigorous assessment of teachers. A class that performs badly may well have done so as a result of a teacher who is not up to standard and for that reason, and for those who attempt inappropriate indoctrination of our children, suspension will take place. This will be followed by investigation and subsequent firing if the teacher is found unsuitable Those teachers who perform well will be financially rewarded.

As a way to focus children's minds on the need to conduct themselves in a disciplined and formal way and see that their education is a serious matter, daily school assemblies will be reintroduced. These will contain a Christian element, so as well as the headmaster addressing the pupils with school news and reports, there will be a hymn and a prayer also. The intention would be not to convert pupils to Christianity but to enforce the fact that this is a Christian country and we live by Christian principles.

Finally, children from secondary schools will be expected to sign up, along with their parents and teachers, to a formal contract, which addresses conduct, discipline and general expectations. Action will be taken to penalise those parents where breaches of the contract arise. Discipline is key and as part of this process teachers will not be addressed by first names but will be called Sir or Ma'am and they will be expected to dress in a way that is appropriate for a formal teaching environment and not casually.

In the next issue we look at what would be expected of pupils once at the 16-18 year stage.

Introducing – Alek Yerbury, Leader of The National Rebirth Party

The first significant act of my adult life was my time in the British Army, between 2015 and 2021. My experiences confirmed many things that I already suspected to be true about the nature of people and the world. I got to experience first-hand an environment rooted in collective effort, solidarity and in which, through work and will seemingly impossible things can be done. I recognised that those principles, when applied politically, could create a utopia for any people who adopted them.

At the same time, I could recognise the political and social problems facing the country, particularly demographic displacement, political disenfranchisement and economic deprivation that affects tens of millions of people. And so, through my own experiences, I started to envision the solution which led me, in 2021, to walk away from the army with no regrets, in order that I might work to bring that solution about.

Throughout 2022, I worked with existing nationalist organisations, most significantly Patriotic Alternative, and whilst I recognised that there was a cohesive nationalist community, I also recognised that it was becoming increasingly distant from wider society as a whole, and becoming less and less powerful as time went on. Instead of winning parliamentary elections, it was seen as a victory to simply not be last on a local council ballot. It became apparent to e that the nationalist movement as a whole was stuck in a cul-de-sac. No growth of any kind was forthcoming, and many people in positions of influence persistently told themselves that they were moving forward when in fact their position became weaker with every passing day. So, it left me with no option except to spearhead the solution myself.

With a few others as the main driving team, we formed the National Rebirth Party at the beginning of 2024. The way forward is through coherent ideals, a willingness to confront rather than run away from our enemies, and through long-term strategy. This I will be able to accomplish with the Party. In order to be able to run successful electoral campaigns, we must ensure that the Party becomes bigger than its leader, and is able to cultivate pro-active leadership at every level. It must also work to create concentrated force at a branch level, which it can do through a focus on branch and constituency-level leadership, rather than becoming fixated on 'regional' level organisation with tiny numbers of people.

The future is not one of pessimism and despair, it is one of optimism, victory and revolution. The strongest force of nature in the universe is the strength of the human will, and now we shall prove it.

Alek Yerbury, Leader, National Rebirth Party

(Next month – Introducing Stephen Frost, National Secretary of the British Movement).

Which Nationalist Party? (1 of 4)

The latest item in this series looks at the recent general election and how each party dealt with it, bearing in mind that we Nationalists do not yet have a party, other than Reform (although see entry below), with enough credibility and support to make any significant impact. That is why it is so important we work together in some form of alliance, possibly foregoing some of our conflicting or contentious policies, but not core beliefs, to achieve this impact. Both the National Front and the British National Party did have such an impact, so we know it can be done.

Each party entry also includes news regarding that party, if any, along with the first look at party policies. In this issue we are briefly looking at immigration, the policy that Nationalists see as most important.

British Democratic Party

Standing 4 candidates, the BDP were the most successful of the smaller Nationalist parties with Frank Calladine in Doncaster North receiving 1160 votes, a 3.7% vote share and placing him 5th out of 9. Other candidates received less than 1%. The BDP have stated that their goals were achieved at this election, these being an increase in membership, donations and new voters. They attribute this to the increase in their public profile via the media and the hundreds of thousands of leaflets being distributed by the Royal Mail and believe this will hold them in good stead for County Council elections next year and consequently they plan to continue their community-based political strategy.

The British Democrats plan to solve the immigration crisis by implementing a 10-year immigration moratorium, deporting all foreign criminals, returning immigrant boats back to France, withdrawing from the outdated UN 1951 Refugee Convention and the ECHR and the Global Compact for Migration. Immigrants already settled here would be offered generous resettlement grants to return to their ethnic home. Laws providing immigrants and ethnic minorities with preferential treatment would be repealed. Asylum seekers would be accepted only if they had been singled out for ill treatment and if Britain were the first safe country they encountered, as if they crossed several safe countries before arriving in Britain they become migrants of choice and would be returned.

Britain First

Britain First leader, Paul Golding, declared his plans for the election early, such that, as a result of the party's involvement in the London mayoral campaign, BF was in no fit state to take part in this election, nor any parliamentary elections for some time. It is unclear why this change in strategy but it may well be the party is looking to build a firmer support base. Along with several other Nationalist parties, Britain First publicly announced supporting the Reform Party in order to send a message to the mainstream parties.

On immigration, Britain First plan to deport all illegal immigrants with no exceptions, rejecting and deporting all so-called 'asylum seekers' who do not originate from countries bordering the UK. All foreign-born criminals in UK prisons will be deported on expiry of their prison term. All further immigration will be halted except in special cases such as genuine marriages where strict citizenship criteria has been met. Immigrants will only be accepted from former Dominions of Canada, Australia and New Zealand and from current Crown Dependencies where certain criteria is met. Citizens of other states will have to prove their British ancestry to be able to settle in the UK. It will also become an act of treason to take measures that could result in a change to the natural demographic make-up of the British Isles, with stiff prison sentences if found guilty of so-doing. A system of (entirely) voluntary and financially assisted repatriation of immigrants, or citizens of overseas origin, will be introduced, enabling them to return to a country of their choice.

British Freedom Party

A somewhat erratic social media presence sees no update on the party website since the middle of June, nor on their X (was Twitter) account (since May). However, party leader Jayda Fransen posts on her personal X account several times a day and also produces a couple of broadcasts per week on the Purged.TV website. Little reference can be found to party involvement in the general election other than on their website asking for donations to the General Election 2024 fund to pay for postcards advising of the futility of elections. It is suspected that these had zero effect, other than to boost the party coffers for a party having connections with ex-BNP leader Nick Griffin and his one-time associate Jim Dowson and their suspected involvement with the Knight's Templar Order.

Which Nationalist Party? (2 of 4)

British Freedom Party (continued)

BFP immigration policy is simply described on the party website as supporting the immediate halt of all immigration and the repatriation of all illegal immigrants, foreign convicted criminals and those who have come to simply live on our welfare system

British Movement

The BM decided in the early 1980's that it would no longer contest elections, operating as an extra-parliamentary organisation. Consequently they had no specific involvement in the general election but did make a statement to the effect that irrespective of the outcome it will generate future political chaos in Britain. They also stated there is a tremendous need to build and mobilise the white British population against the current political system before this chaos sets in. The BM also published it's concern regarding the emergence of Islamist influenced sectarian politics in some parts of the UK and with the election of four Islamist 'independent' MP's suggest (rightly so) that the situation requires serious scrutiny.

With regards to specific immigration policy nothing can be found but with its strict adherence to the 14 Words it can be assumed the party is not in favour of immigration.

(Please look out for the 'Introducing…' article in issue 5, available from the 1st November, when we feature British Movement National Secretary Stephen Frost).

British National Party

The BNP is no longer seen as a serious contender in the Nationalist movement and it is difficult to see any merit in their continued existence. The general consensus is that it exists solely to make money from those few who left money to the party in their wills. Such a sad day that it has come to this!

Irrespective of the above, the party does still have a social media presence and the web-site simply states the problems that immigration causes and that it must stop.

English Democrats

The ED's fielded a total of 16 candidates, which included 4 members of Patriotic Alternative, a party not yet registered with the Electoral Commission and so unable to stand in its own name. Poor results were achieved in all cases with candidates generally receiving less than 1% of the vote, although William Highton did achieve 4% with just over 2,000 votes. Steve Laws, the prominent anti-immigration campaigner was the ED candidate in Dover and Deal and only received 185 votes.

The party policy on immigration is quite extensive and the main points only are selected for inclusion here. The party will allow immigration, implementing a points system for entry to England based on the Canadian and Australian model, points being awarded for educational and professional qualification, family links with England, financial resources, and the ability to speak English. The main aim would be to meet the demands of our society from within. The policy includes withdrawal from the 1951 UN Convention on Refugees, the repeal of the Human Rights Act and the withdrawal from the European Convention on Human Rights. The party says it would support whatever measures are necessary to bring mass immigration to a complete end, with illegal immigrants, including those convicted of criminal offences, being deported

Heritage Party

Standing 41 candidates in the election, the party stood more candidates than any other party except Reform. Only 1 candidate, party leader David Kurten achieved more than 1%, with 1.5% in the Bognor Regis and Littlehampton constituency.

The party's immigration policy expresses concern at the level of immigration and says it will withdraw from the UN Global Migration Compact, the Barcelona Declaration and the Marrakesh Declaration (apparently globalist schemes encouraging migration). It goes on to say that legal immigration must be reduced to sustainable levels with caps on numbers, with only skilled workers coming here on time-limited visa's, although emphasis would be placed on training up British workers. It further states that illegal immigration must stop and be reversed, with asylum only being granted to genuine refugees who have not passed through any other safe countries, being returned to their home countries when deemed safe.

Which Nationalist Party? (3 of 4)

Homeland Party

The Homeland Party made it clear from the outset that no candidates would be put forward for the election or future elections until the necessary development within communities had occurred. Party leader Kenny Smith advised members and others to vote for the Reform Party to damage the mainstream parties as much as possible.

The policy on immigration includes a demand for a binding referendum on mass immigration in order the people finally get a say on the subject. The party would put a moratorium on mass immigration, and unprocessed migrants would be returned and those committing crimes would also be deported.

National Front

The NF had no involvement in the general election and with a sporadic social media and internet presence it is difficult to determine their stance.

Consequently it is difficult to determine their policy on immigration although it is assumed it has not changed and so they would therefore call for a stop to immigration and compulsory deportation of non-white immigrants and their descendants.

National Housing Party UK

The party took no part in the general election and no information can be found regarding their stance.

With regards immigration policy NHPUK would stop all permanent settlement immigration (unless they were to marry a UK citizen). The party would leave the ECHR, refuse to recognise the 1951 UN Refugee Convention and enforce a mandatory 3-year prison sentence for illegal entry into the UK, believing that deportation itself not to be a deterrent. A date would be set for the estimated 2 million illegal immigrants in the UK to leave. Additionally the party plan to construct thousands of illegal immigrant detention centres that would later revert to social housing.

National Rebirth Party

Party leader, Alek Yerbury, issued a statement advising on the stance people ought to take, essentially calling for complete abstention from the election, particularly if no listed party represented the views a voter held. At best a 'none of the above' statement was suggested by way of spoiling the ballot paper.

The party has created a 20 point agenda with demands they are working towards. No. 1 includes that foreigners shall be permitted to be resident within Great Britain, so long as their presence is in the immediate and long-term interests of the national community. Demand 2 says that foreigners whose presence is harmful to the national community be expelled, without exception. Those who enter without permission shall be expelled by default and prohibited from ever returning.

New British Union

The NBU played no part in the general election.

On immigration the NBU call for an immediate end to economic driven, uncontrolled immigration and introduce a 5-year freeze on immigration for permanent settlement until we regain control of our borders.

Patria

Party leader Andrew Emerson stood in Chichester as an independent and received less than 1% of the vote. After the election he posted that the election may well have been the turning point for ethnonationalism (and that may well be true!).

Patria will immediately end all further immigration, deport all illegal immigrants, and introduce a scheme of financially assisted voluntary repatriation, open to immigrants not of British ancestry and their dependants only.

Patriotic Alternative

See entry for the English Democrats, as four Patriotic Alternative members stood for election but under the English Democrats banner as a result of the party's failure to register with the Electoral Commission. All achieved less than 1% of their respective votes.

PA would ensure a complete halt to all immigration unless under exceptional circumstances where the

Which Nationalist Party? (4 of 4)

Patriotic Alternative (contd)

individual is a foreign diplomat, or is highly skilled (and where there is an urgent demand) and those with a shared ethnic and cultural background who can prove British ancestry.

Populist Party

A subscriber brought the Populist Party to our attention after contacting their leader Russell White, who emailed me and requested inclusion in this series. We are happy to oblige and apologise for previously missing them. The party were originally founded in 2001, changed their name a couple of times but reverted to the original and current name in 2015. The party has stood candidates in local and general elections but have fared poorly. Their website, www.populistparty.co.uk, is one of several social media platforms used and some are more actively used than others. Membership of the party is £5.00.

The party played no part in the general election.

On immigration the party believes we should turn off the tap of immigration from cheap labour nations. Those entering the country would have to be sponsored by their employer who would need to prove they are required.

Reclaim Party

Despite stating it would stand candidates in the election, Reclaim did not do so and subsequently endorsed Reform. The party had also announced it would donate £5,000 to each candidate, standing for re-election, from other parties, who agreed to a four-point culture-based pledge. The four Tories who accepted this all lost their seats.

The party believe that the levels of migration into the United Kingdom should be dictated by the sentiment of those who live here. Any migrant entering the country illegally should be unable to attain a British passport, or permanent leave to remain.

Reform Party (referred to as Reform UK)

Reform UK contested a total of 609 constituencies, receiving over 4 million votes, equating to 14.3% of the total vote share and gaining 5 MP's in the process, Nigel Farage amongst them (see In The News on page 4). Even though the number of MP's was less than the 19 that was forecast, it is hoped this achievement will prove to be a huge thorn in parliament's side. In the run-up to the election Reform drew the ire of the Nationalist community in response to their capitulation to demands from Hope Not Hate to remove candidates who have said things HnH were unhappy with, a position that any other party would not have countered.

On immigration, Reform UK would freeze non-essential immigration, placing strict limits on further immigration. It would leave the European Convention on Human Rights. It would allow zero illegal immigrants to be resettled in the UK and create a new Department of Immigration. It would pick up illegal migrants travelling by boats and take them back to France.

UKIP

UKIP fielded 24 candidates of its own in the election and a couple under the banner of Patriots Alliance, its' electoral coalition with the English Democrats. The 24 candidates received a total 6,530 votes. With the party performing so badly and its membership shrinking by the day. UKIP is well and truly on the rocks. On top of this the party suffered the total fiasco of Lois Perry's election as leader in May only to be followed in short order by her endorsing Farage and Reform in the general election and then quitting, citing health reasons. Nick Tenconi, the deputy leader then became the 'interim leader'. UKIP's stance on social media seems to have become increasingly desperate as it attempts to appear as the party to solve all our problems but it seems the party has had its day.

UKIP policy on immigration is typically civic-nationalist, immigration is ok where there is a demand for it (i.e. government has run out of ideas regarding how to manage the country) and illegal immigration will be clamped down on. The party will withdraw from the UN's Refugee Convention of 1951, European Convention on Human Rights and the Global Migration Compact.

The Best of Spearhead Magazine – January 1974 (1)

The latest issue of Spearhead magazine to be featured in this series is issue number 72 from January 1974, again more than 50 years ago. With the recent election success of the Labour Party, ***Britain, My Nation*** felt that this edition was an appropriate one and the headline holds as true now as it did in 1974, highlighting how the writings of John Tyndall, Spearhead founder and main editor, bear the test of time and have relevancy so many years later.

Sadly, having progressed little overall in those 50 years, we still have much work to do even though we know our Nationalist movement has the solutions to eradicate the problems caused by the liberal/left establishment. However, we must work together to gain footholds in our communities and gain the confidence of our people, so they give us their support. Recent political breakthroughs in Europe have shown us it can be done and we do have time, but only just - we can and we must succeed!

1974: REDS PLAN TO WRECK BRITAIN

AN OPEN LETTER TO MR. AVERAGE VOTER

Dear Fellow Countryman,

As we enter a new year the prospect for our country does not look too good; in fact it looks terrible. We are sure you do not need telling of this. One does not even have to read the papers nowadays to be aware of it. At the petrol pump, in the supermarket where your wife buys the weekly food supplies, at the station where the train you are expecting to catch is not running, on the television screen where they tell you to cut down on the use of electricity: in all these ways you can see that something is deeply wrong with Britain.

But how much are you aware of the deeper implications of this? How seriously have you considered where it is all leading? Have you thought, for instance, that in this situation there could be a threat of Communism?

Perhaps you may be inclined to dismiss such an idea lightly. After all, you may say, the Communist Party in this country is very small: it has no seats in Parliament and does not look like getting any.

But if this is what you think, dear fellow countryman, we urge you to think again.

You will surely be an intelligent enough man to agree with us that seats in Parliament are not the only things which in this day and age constitute political power. A movement that has its agents strongly placed in the mass media, for instance writing columns in the newspapers and controlling programmes on television, has a great deal of power; it has power if its agents are numerous in the teaching profession, where it can influence the thinking of millions of students; not least, it has power if its agents control important positions in industry, such as in the trade unions.

The Communists have their people well placed in all these sectors of the nation's life — and the effects are easy to see. You have been seeing it recently in the wave of industrial unrest that we have been having.

And there is another place where reds are powerfully placed too: in the Labour Party. Precisely because the electoral position of the Communist Party is weak, Communists who seek political office know that the Labour Party provides them with their best vehicle to obtain it. A huge number of Labour MPs are ex-members of the Communist Party. They may have formally dropped their official connections with the party, but that doesn't mean that they have abandoned their Communist sympathies or objectives. It means that they intend to use the Labour Party to establish Communism under another name. To do this, they seek first of all to take over effective control of the party. There is every sign that they are succeeding in this with the continuing drift of the party towards the left.

And while we are talking about reds operating under other names, we must not forget those organisations that function under such titles as 'Trotskyist', 'International Socialist' and 'International Marxist'. These operate separately from the Communist Party but they are all Communist just the same. Like the Communist Party, they have no official representation in Parliament but they have their agents well placed in all sorts of institutions where they have considerable power – particularly the trade unions.

Then there is a myriad of Communist front organisations all over the country that simply use people for Communist ends without those people realising it. Such bodies use names like 'Society for Anglo-Chinese Understanding', 'Friends of China', 'Britain-Vietnam Solidarity Front', 'British Peace Committee', 'World Peace Council', 'Musicians' Organisation for Peace', 'Scientists for Peace', 'Artists for Peace', 'Teachers for Peace', 'National Association of Tenants and Residents', and many more.

There is one thing all these organisations have in common. They use pleasant sounding terms like 'peace', etc., with which decent and respectable people can identify, so that they obtain the support of all sorts of gullible folk who would never knowingly promote Communism as such. These organisations are all, however, controlled by Communists.

We must not forget another vital field in which the same technique is at work, and that is the Church. Here again reds infiltrate the organism and are able, once in it, to find any number of easily manipulated and easily led people. People with the natural desire to 'do good' provide the easiest victims for manipulation. Their 'do-gooder' energies and idealism can be used for the most sinister of causes, while all the time they think that they are 'helping humanity'.

By these means, while you have been sleeping, dear fellow countryman, the Communists have been quietly building up their machine of disruption and revolution. Now they have this country by the throat. They are going to use their power in 1974 as they have never used it before. They are going to attempt to deliver the country to chaos, and out of that chaos they are going to make their bid to take us over.

Remember, Lenin and Co. were only a small party in Russia a short while before 1917, but their agents were at work, fomenting chaos and confusion, making the country ready for take-over.

It could happen here, and we are warning you that it will happen – if you don't wake up and act before it is too late!

– John Tynaall

THE NATIONAL FRONT AND THE GENERAL ELECTION

A STATEMENT BY THE N.F. CHAIRMAN

We do not yet know whether 1974 is going to be General Election year. What we do know is that a General Election is going to take place not later than Summer 1975. This means that the year just beginning will be the crucial one so far as preparation for the election is concerned.

It is now well known that the National Front aims to contest not less than 50 seats at this coming election. Whatever the number of votes gained in those 50 or so seats, this represents a truly spectacular growth since 1970, when we contested 10 seats.

Before we think about the details of how we are going to fight this coming campaign it is important that we get into focus what the basic objective of it is, and that in this respect we keep our feet on the ground and desist from wild hopes that it is beyond our capacity to realise.

In terms of credibility with the public we have come a long way since 1970, as the results of Uxbridge and West Bromwich parliamentary elections and numerous council elections have shown. At the same time we must appreciate that we still have a long way to go; that we still have a tiny party machine when measured alongside our opponents, that we are opposed by the entire mass media and that we are living and campaigning in a country not usually given to drastic and sudden changes in political loyalties.

We will do all we can to actually win seats in the forthcoming election, but we must recognise that if we do not win one single seat but score impressive percentages of the vote in several seats – percentages that do not suffer by comparison with West Bromwich last May – our campaign can be counted a success.

ALTERNATIVE FOR FUTURE

It matters very little who actually emerges as the Government from the next election. What matters is that it be demonstrated to the British people that a new political movement has arisen which represents a really viable and credible alternative for the future. Our aim in this election campaign is to really establish the National Front as that alternative. By the number of seats that we contest and by a good vote in at least a good number of those seats we can do this.

It needs hardly be said that our election campaign now takes absolute priority over everything else in the way of activities. For this reason there will be a curtailment during 1974 of some of the bigger national activities to which we have been accustomed in the past. These cost money to promote, but above all they cost time and trouble in the way of organisation and planning, both local and national. This month there is a big anti-Common Market march in London in which the NF along with other organisations will be taking part. Then in November we will of course stage our traditional Remembrance Day parade as usual. Between these two events there may be one major national activity but not more than one. The time, money, work and organisational and planning energies that normally go into these events must go into local work directed at preparing ourselves for the big election test when it comes.

ONE COMMITTEE

As NF members will have been informed in a recent bulletin, certain previously separate Headquarters committees have been merged into a single committee which will aim to provide the national organisational structure of the election campaign. This election committee will set stern standards and targets for local organisations to meet, and will expect the fullest co-operation from all local leaders. We have much smaller resources than our rivals, both in numbers of workers and of course in money; we must make up for this in the intensity of our effort, in the degree of our self-sacrifice and in the professionalism and discipline of our organisation. In the latter respect some of the easy going and slap-happy atmosphere of the past must disappear and we will expect people at every level of organisation to carry out tasks in the manner that they are carried out in a disciplined army, not as a favour but as an obligation. Some of our local organisations are well up to scratch in the meeting of their election schedules; some at the moment are behind. The latter in particular must be prepared to enter into this new spirit of discipline. Where slackness or inefficiency exist, pressure will be exerted to correct them beyond what is normally expected in an organisation of voluntary helpers. Those who are not prepared to work under these conditions should get out now and allow others to take their place.

As our recent bulletin has indicated, envelope-addressing is currently the top priority task. As this comes to completion, canvassing will assume prior importance, and this must be done professionally and systematically. In the meantime fund-raising will of course be vital all along the way, and here individual initiative is of great importance.

APPEAL TO UNCOMMITTED

As a final point, I appeal especially to those readers of *Spearhead* who have broadly nationalist and patriotic views but who have not yet committed themselves to joining and supporting the National Front. I ask them to consider where else in the coming election is there the glimmer of a movement, either within or without the established political parties, that provides any hope for Britain's future. I ask 'right-wing' conservatives to consider what hope there is that in the event of a Tory victory the sort of policies that they want to see will be implemented. I ask patriotic Labour supporters to recognise that the economic and social justice which they have always sought will never be realised by a party now increasingly committed to Marxist and anti-British ideals.

Even those who cannot fully support NF policies in every aspect **must** now stop splitting hairs and take the broad view. Is a good NF performance at the next election going to benefit the country overall or is it not?

I ask those whose main preoccupation is with opposition to the Common Market to recognise that Britain will never be brought out of the Market by mere pressure brought on the Government to that end; we will only be brought out of the Market by the Government being dismissed and replaced by that of a party wholly committed to withdrawal. This cannot happen at the next election, but it can happen in a future election if such a party is given all necessary support in the meantime.

I ask all of these people to make the decision that in this coming election the National Front should be supported with everything that they have in the way both of money and active effort. I ask them to register with us as NF members, but if they will not do that I ask them at least to give us their wholehearted help from the outside by sending us money and getting to work with our branches and groups in their locality. The time is past when traditional party loyalties can be allowed to inhibit the performance of national duty. The very survival of Britain as a nation demands that all patriots pull together in one massive effort now!

Printed & published by Albion Press, 50 Pawsons Road, Croydon, Surrey, CRO 2QF

PC - Making A Monkey Of Us All

This will be the last in this series – next month we begin looking at the Freedom of Information Act and the use thereof. For now however, it seems that the term 'political correctness' has become ingrained in our society and rebranded as 'woke'. It seems that the liberal-left instigators of this nonsense have almost succeeded in ensuring that the majority of people are now very much of the mind-set that they will not say or do the wrong thing for fear of what might happen. How many times, for example, have you heard people say "I'm not racist, but …" or "I can't say what I really think as you're not allowed …" etc. It is a sad state of affairs when this insidious control of our speech and even our thoughts becomes the norm. Whatever happened to "freedom of speech"? Of course, we all know this died many years ago here in Britain. People are still worried that by saying things that are "not allowed" they will be found out and called racist, homophobic, islamophobic, Nazi, Fascist and so on, but these terms have become so over-used that they no longer have the same resonance as they used to and are like water off a duck's back to time-served Nationalists. It will hopefully soon have that same effect on the general public and we will again see a time when we can speak and think as we see fit. What we must do is face up to this nonsense and challenge those who attempt to hush us up. Ask them to explain and specifically identify what it is they believe we have done wrong and hopefully they will question themselves as to what it is they are doing and either admit they are parroting the liberal left line, without substance, or agree they are wrong. (See main letter on the letter's page from Gary Clarke).

Recently we have had to suffer the events taking place in June now that it is ear-marked as the month in which deviants and perverts are "celebrated". Yes, you've guessed it, it's been Pride month and we have witnessed an increase in the number of towns "celebrating" Pride along with the display of numerous multi-coloured flags representing those "alphabet" people who simply want to draw attention to themselves and their deviant practices, including the corruption of our young people. This seems to have worked with the simpering brain-dead liberal-left and the mainstream political parties, as they bend over backwards to facilitate this assault on our traditional family values. No thought is given to how this affects the young people who are increasingly confused about the world and themselves, leading to a massive increase in mental health problems and the pressures on the services that are available to help them.

Now that Pride month has ended, we can, of course, start looking forward to Black History Month in October, the month when we are faced with wall-to-wall coverage allowing us all, according to the BBC " … the opportunity to share, celebrate and understand the impact of black heritage and culture". Sadly, it seems that we here at ***Britain, My Nation*** are unable to elaborate on what this actually means in practice but hope that our more intelligent readers can enlighten us and so we welcome correspondence on this matter. Unfortunately no prizes are available for the best answers!

A Time In History

This regular feature lists some events in British history which took place on dates during the months covered by this publication.

August

3rd 1305– William Wallace, the Scots patriot and army commander, is betrayed. Sir John Menteith and 60 men surprise Wallace in his sleep at Robroystoun, capture him and take him to Carlisle.

17th to 20th 1648 – Battle of Preston; a Scottish army under the Duke of Hamilton invades England in support of Charles I, but is beaten back by Cromwell.

6th 1809 – Alfred Tennyson, poet, is born at Somersby rectory, Lincolnshire.

30th 1860 – The first tramway in Britain opens, at Birkenhead.

23rd 1911 – There are violent anti-semitic riots in Wales.

7th 1925 – Summer Time Act; changing clocks in the summer is made a permanent institution.

26th 1945 – Following some criticism of the severity of the Dresden bombing, it is announced that Sir Arthur 'Bomber' Harris to retire.

15th 1980 – The wreck of the Titanic, which sank in 1912, is located on the seabed.

September

3rd 1189 – Coronation of Richard I in Westminster Abbey.

28th 1745 – The first known performance of the National Anthem, 'God Save The King', at Drury Lane Theatre.

21st 1866 – The author H. G. Wells is born in Bromley, Kent.

13th 1902 – The first conviction on fingerprint evidence is secured by the Metropolitan Police, in a case against Henry Jackson.

2nd 1939 – Military service for all British men between ages 18 and 41 becomes compulsory.

15th 1940 – The Battle of Britain ends with victory for Britain; the Germans lose 1,733 aircraft and the British 915.

12th 1970 – Concorde lands at Heathrow airport for the first time.

9th 1985 – There are riots in the Handsworth area of Birmingham.

Letters Page

(contact details on page 3 – letters may be edited)

Dear Robert

Our globalist, multi-culti masters and their servile neo-Orwellian media outlets and pet politicians persistently promote their pro-pervert, anti-male and anti-white propaganda with fanatical, sycophantic 'snowflake' support and seek to curtail our freedom of speech in order to silence our response.

Why do we so often take a defensive stance rather than insisting they first define their terms? We can then interrogate them and expose the fact that their negative term 'racist' differs from our positive racial Nationalist definition.

Ask them if they believe that it was right that white Europeans migrated to the America's, Australasia and sub-Saharan Africa and by doing so polluted their culture, settled and drove them from their lands. Their answer will be that this was wrong. If so, why is it now right that sub-Saharans, members of their diaspora or Asians settle here and change (or contaminate) our culture? If the answer is that we are enriched by their presence, why wasn't the rest of the world enriched by ours?

Ask any media minion why they or their employers are pro-pervert, anti-male and anti-white. They will no doubt take offence rather than explain. Do they think that there is a cultural merit in promoting 'black consciousness, black history or black entertainment'? If so, why is our promotion of white consciousness, white history or other aspects of white culture wrong?

These examples are not exhaustive, think of others and use them with politeness and confidence. How can we be accused of 'hate speech' just because we question the certitudes of spoon-fed 'snowflakes'? We love our families and our Folk, as others have a right to do, in the same way it is our absolute right. They have no right to hate our heritage, our culture, our identity and our destiny as white people.

Yours sincerely, Gary Clarke, Yorkshire.

Dear Robert

It seems to have become received wisdom for many that 'Brexit has failed', or that 'Brexit has been a disaster'. Such statements ignore the fact that, according to the United Nations Conference on Trade and Development, the UK is now the 4th largest exporter in the world, having leapfrogged France, Japan and the Netherlands. It also fails to recognise that Brexit was never about money or economics, it was about national sovereignty and regaining control of our borders and that is far more precious than a few extra percentage points on Britain's GDP will ever be. That is certainly why I campaigned and voted for Brexit, and I have no regrets. Any failings supposedly caused by Brexit should be laid at the doors of our own elected politicians who have failed to take proper advantage of our new found freedoms and also failed to implement a more robust immigration system, when it comes to both legal and illegal immigration. Leaving the ECHR, although not an EU institution, would certainly help in that regard.

Yours sincerely, Paul Sheehan, Coventry.

Dear Sirs

Thoroughly enjoying ***Britain, My Nation*** – long may it continue. I have given the sample copies you supplied to Nationalists I have known for many years and the response was very positive indeed, so look out for more subscribers in the very near future.

Yours faithfully, TR, Leeds.

(Editor's note – a couple of subscription requests from Leeds already received. Thank you!)

Advertisement's

Bill Baillie's Blogs

The first of each month Bill Baillie, veteran of the *League of Empire Loyalists* and *Union Movement* Updates his always interesting two blogs:

Nation Revisited: ***nationrevisited.blogspot.com***

European Outlook: ***europeanoutlook.blogspot.com***

Bill's great wealth of knowledge of the nationalist movement is always fascinating and if you have any questions about the history or people he has known please ask him!

Contact Bill and subscribe to his Blog today

BROADSWORD

The quarterly magazine of The British Movement

Only £20.00 for a one year (5 issues) UK subscription
The Sunwheel,
PO Box 6,
Heckmondwike, WF16 0XF

www.britishmovementnorthern.org



Candour

Founded 1953 by A. K. Chesterton
Now in its 71st year
Many back issues 1960-2022 still available
Send £5.00 for a sample copy and catalogue to:

A.K. Chesterton Trust
BM Box Candour, London
WC1N 3XX, England
www.candour.org.uk



Published bi-monthly, a six issue subscription costs £35 including UK postage. For a sample copy send just £5 Overseas prices by application. Payment should be made by cheque or money order. Please make payable to **Heritage & Destiny** and post to:
40 BIRKETT DRIVE
PRESTON
PR2 6HE

WWW.HERITAGEANDDESTINY.COM


League Sentinel
"Let all things be discussed, and let truth prevail"
The Newsletter Of The League Of St George
GAZA GENOCIDE
IS NOT IN MY NAME
COMRADESHIP LOYALTY HONOUR

League Sentinel

Journal of the League of St George.
Published quarterly.
UK subscription is just £7.50 for four issues.
Contact: **League Enterprises**
5 Brayford Square
London. E1 0SG
Please make cheques/postal orders payable to League Enterprises.
www.leaguestgeorge.org



The Supplement

Supporting nationalism in Coventry/Warwickshire for over 20 years.

Send **£5.00** for a couple of sample copies to:
The Editor, PO Box 1295, Bedworth, CV12 0ZX

Call/text **07709-918537** or email **thesupplementcov@yahoo.co.uk**

Britain, My Nation – Back issues 1, 2, 3 - £2.50

Spearhead Magazines Summer Sale – nos. ~~106~~, ~~107~~, ~~109~~, ~~110~~, ~~112~~, ~~113~~, 115, 116, 117, 118, 119, 120, 121, 122, 124, 125, 126, 127, 128, 129, 130, 131, 132, 133, 134, 135, 136, 137, 137, 138, 139, 145, 146, 149 - £5.99.

For Sale - Voice of Freedom newspaper of the BNP nos. 73, ~~85~~, 88, 89, 90 - £2.99

All above postage free and available by contacting britainmynation@yahoo.com

Subscriptions (incl. p & p)

Sample Copy - £2.50

£15.00 per year (6 issues)

Bulk Rates (GB only)

5 copies - £7.50
10 copies - £12.50
15 copies - £15.00
20 copies - £17.50
25 copies - £20.00
50 copies - £35.00

Overseas rates on request

Payments by cheque or postal order (payable to R A Baggs), cash or 2nd class postage stamps to address on page 3.

"***Britain, My Nation***" is Published and Printed as a private concern by the Editor in a bid to forward the cause of sensible British Nationalism and to raise funds for the continuing fight against all of the enemies of this once great nation. All contents are the view of the Editor unless specified otherwise and are to be treated as just that. Thank you for your support.

Publication dates will be:

1st February, 1st April, 1st June, 1st August, 1st October, 1st December.